

# Anode-Coupled Readout for Light Collection in Liquid Argon TPCs

Z. Moss, M. Toups, L. Bugel, G.H. Collin, J.M. Conrad

[ arXiv:1507.01997 ]

# Dedicated Readout Designs

- High resolution light collection can mean thousands of cables (as in the case of DUNE).
- Readout cables are problematic: many feedthroughs required (heat leaks), potential impurities introduced to the ullage.
- Dedicated readout is expensive! Hundreds of \$ /channel


# The Idea

- Argontube, Lariat, Icarus and MicroBooNE (preliminary) saw crosstalk on their wire-planes from PMT activity (see Gabriel Collin's study).
- Might it be possible to use this crosstalk as a readout, avoiding the complications of a dedicated system?
- Our proposal is to couple SiPM signal outputs to capacitive plates placed close to the collection plane, in an attempt to read the signals out using the wire-plane DAQ exclusively.
- This system is simple! It requires only a wire and a copper plate.

# Potential Hurdles


- Will an unamplified SiPM produce a strong enough signal?
- Will the slow digitization and shaping times ruin our timing resolution?
- How good is our charge resolution? Can we see single PE?
- Will optical backgrounds swamp the charge readout?

# Wire-plane Experiments




# Wire-plane Experiments

SiPM Waveform



Raw SiPM Waveform


Wire 24 Waveform, Parallel Antenna



9.1 mV/fC


Digitized at 62.5 MHz, 12 bits.

# Signal Distribution



Induced signal amplitude as a function of wire distance. The antenna is situated directly above and parallel to wire 24. The vertical distance is 1.3cm.

# Linear Response




Slope:  $2.063 \pm 0.002$ , Y-Intercept:  $-0.069 \pm 0.343$  ADC  
 $\chi^2/\text{NDF} = 7.17/9$

Single PE is within reach!


# Timing Studies

- The MicroBooNE optical readout ADCs operate at 64MHz
- The shaping time is 60ns.
- The wire-plane readout ADCs operate at 2MHz.
- Their shaping time is 0.5-3 microseconds.
- What happens to our timing resolution?
- Let's look at the effects of noise and sampling variation.

# Timing Studies




An averaged wire waveform from wire 24.




The same waveform, convolved with the 0.5 microsecond MicroBooNE kernel.


# Timing Variation




# Timing Variation




# Timing Variation



# Timing Variation




# Constant Fraction Discrimination




*"Introduction to Fast Timing Discriminators", Ortec.*

# Our CFD Implementation




10% noise added to the shaped signal.

# CFD Without Noise



CFD Performance for noiseless waveforms.


# CFD With 10% Noise



CFD Performance for 1000 noised waveforms per delta value.


# Slice RMS

A Slice at Y=2700



A Projection of the distribution onto the delta axis.  
The RMS width is our figure of merit for  $t_0$  reconstruction.


# RMS vs CFD Crossing Time




# Wiener Filtering

- MicroBooNE will use Wiener filtering on its wire signals.
- We estimated power spectra for our applied noise (white noise: the spectrum is flat) and for our shaped and sampled signals.


# CFD with Wiener Filtering




# RMS with Wiener Filtering



# Interpolated Signal Strength



# Expected RMS After Noise Reduction



30 ns RMS timing resolution!

# Potential Hurdles

- Will an un-amplified SiPM produce a strong enough signal? **Yes!**
- Will the slow digitization and shaping times ruin our timing resolution? **No!**
- How good is our charge resolution? Can we see single PE? → **Planned Bo run in fall.**
- Will optical backgrounds swamp the charge readout?

# Optical Backgrounds

- We don't expect significant overlap between light and charge signal due to long drift times.
- Deep underground, the cosmic rate is low (DUNE CDR says 0.26 Hz), and is extremely unlikely to cause overlap with real charge signals.
- The SiPM dark rate at cryogenic temperatures is  $\sim 10\text{Hz}$
- Ar39 seems to be the biggest question.
- Can we fit for signal distribution and denoise?
- We can examine the possibilities this fall with a Bo run!

Thank you!


# Charge Sensitivity

- Assuming the standard plate configuration used in these experiments (1.3cm vertical distance, parallel configuration) and a SiPM gain of  $6 \times 10^6$ , we estimate a  $\sim 3$  ADC signal from a single PE at 14mV/fC wire gain.
- Overall gain can be tweaked by varying plate parameters, or through electronics modifications.
- We have not observed single PE signal due to enormous dark noise from warm SiPM.

# Exploiting Signal from Multiple Wires

- Judging by the induced signal pattern on slide 8, the wires adjacent to the maximal wire carry significant signal.
- Summing these signals may provide an advantageous signal to noise ratio (SNR), provided the signal drops off slowly, and that the noise is uncorrelated wire-to-wire.

# SNR Advantage



Using only 4 adjacent wires, we find a reduction in fractional noise RMS of over 2.

# Potential Implementation

- Consider an array of 16 SiPMs, each coated with wavelength shifter.
- Loss in area, gain in efficiency.
- Dune continuously digitizes.
- 0.2% coverage: no trouble with plate occlusion.
- 4x4 plate has ~30% probability of inducing signal every 2.3ms, but the signal is small.
- Stagger plates to associate signal with TPC.